

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
Civil No. 15-4436(DSD/SER)

Troy K. Scheffler,

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

Gurstel Chargo, P.A.

Defendant.

Peter J. Nickitas, Esq., 431 South 7th Street, Suite 2446,
Minneapolis, MN 55415, counsel for plaintiff.

Manuel H. Newburger, Esq. and Barron & Newburger, PC, 1212
Guadalupe, Suite 104, Austin, TX 78701 and Amy M. Goltz, Esq.
and Gurstel Chargo, P.A., 6681 Country Club Drive, Golden
Valley, MN 55427, counsel for defendant.

This matter is before the court upon the request by defendant
Gurstel Chargo, P.A. to file a motion to reconsider the court's
denial of its motion for sanctions. See ECF No. 116.

Motions to reconsider require the express permission of the
court and will be granted only upon a showing of "compelling
circumstances." D. Minn. LR 7.1(j). A motion to reconsider should
not be employed to relitigate old issues but rather to "afford an
opportunity for relief in extraordinary circumstances." Dale &
Selby Superette & Deli v. U.S. Dep't of Agric., 838 F. Supp. 1346,
1348 (D. Minn. 1993).

The court has reviewed Gurstel's request and finds that no
extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant reconsideration of
the court's prior ruling.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The request to withdraw the motion for reconsideration as filed in error is granted;
2. The motion for reconsideration [ECF No. 118] shall be stricken from the docket; and
3. The letter request for permission to file a motion to reconsider [ECF No. 120] is denied.

Dated: August 14, 2017

s/David S. Doty
David S. Doty, Judge
United States District Court